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#### Abstract

Further investigations of implicit solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations are pursued. Of particular interest are the equations which are Lorentz invariant. The question of which differential equations of second order for a single unknown $\phi$ are solved by the imposition of an inhomogeneous quadratic relationship among the independent variables, whose coefficients are functions of $\phi$ is discussed, and it is shown that if the discriminant of the quadratic vanishes, then an implicit solution of the so-called universal field equation is obtained. The relation to the general solution is discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

The study of nonlinear equations particularly those incorporating Lorentz invariance has demonstrated that a characteristic feature of large classes of solutions, and sometimes the generic solution is that solutions are obtainable only in implicit form. As such, a few implicit solutions to nonlinear equations are recorded in the literature, [1-7], one of the neatest being the result that the general solution of the Bateman equation,

$$
\left(\phi_{x}\right)^{2} \phi_{t t}-2 \phi_{x} \phi_{t} \phi_{t x}+\left(\phi_{t}\right)^{2} \phi_{x x}=0
$$

where subscripts denote derivatives, is given by the implicit solution of the following constraint between two arbitrary functions of one variable:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t F(\phi)+x G(\phi)=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F, G$ are arbitrary functions of $\phi$. Since this solution of a second-order partial differential equation depends upon two arbitrary functions of the space variables for a hyperbolic equation, and the functional form of $(F, G)$ can be fitted to the initial configuration
and time derivative, it is the most general. This result is well known. One of the simplest ways of understanding it is to look for a solution in the implicit form

$$
t=A(\phi, x)
$$

Differentiation of this equation with respect to $(t, x)$ and substitution of the partial derivatives of $\phi$ into the Bateman equation shows that this function will be a solution provided $\frac{\partial^{2} A(\phi, x)}{\partial x^{2}}=0$. But this simply means that $A(\phi, x)$ is linear in $x$, i.e.

$$
t=A_{0}(\phi)+A_{1}(\phi) x
$$

which is tantamount to (1). Later we shall generalize this approach. The Bateman equation possesses a remarkable property, which is reflected in the transformation used to obtain it, and also in form of the solution (1), namely that if $\phi(x, t)$ is a solution, so is any function of $\phi$. It is this property which leads to the implicit nature of the general solution. In this paper, instead of asking for the solution to a given nonlinear PDE, we ask for those equations which are solved by an implicit functional relationship among the unknown and the independent variables. A natural attempt to generalize the Bateman result to the linear constraint

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} F_{i}(\phi) x_{i}=\mathrm{constant}
$$

leads to the surprising conclusion that the function $\phi$ defined thereby is a 'universal' solution to any equation of motion arising from any Lagrangian dependent upon $\phi$ and its first derivatives, and homogeneous of weight 1 in the latter [9]. It so happens that if there are only two independent variables, the equation resulting from this prescription is unique, and is the Bateman equation. In the case of more than two independent variables, however, the linear constraint cannot be designed to fit arbitrary initial conditions and is not the most general solution. One of the aims of this paper is to extend this study to quadratic constraints of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} M_{i j}(\phi) x_{i} x_{j}=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that both the linear and quadratic constraints remain form-invariant under a general linear transformation of the independent variables $x_{i}$, hence under Lorentz or Euclidean transformations, so viewed as classes of implicit solutions, they should apply to Lorentz invariant equations. The quadratic system has been studied before, by Dunajski [10], from a different point of view. He proposes to determine the conditions upon $\phi$ such that an equation of D'Alembertian type,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\eta_{i j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{j}}\right)=0
$$

admits a solution on the manifold defined by (2). A particular example of this occurs as an exercise in Jeans' famous book on electromagnetism [11] 'Shew that the confocal ellipsoids

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}+\lambda}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}+\lambda}+\frac{z^{2}}{c^{2}+\lambda}=1
$$

can form a system of equipotentials and express the potential as a function of $\lambda^{\prime}$. If we take (2) and differentiate it with respect to $x_{p}$ the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} M_{i j}^{\prime}(\phi) x_{i} x_{j} \phi_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} 2 M_{p j}(\phi) x_{j}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\phi_{p}$ denotes differentiation of $\phi$ with respect to $x_{p}$, and a prime means differentiation with respect to the argument. Differentiation again gives
$M_{i j}^{\prime \prime}(\phi) x_{i} x_{j} \phi_{p} \phi_{q}+M_{i j}^{\prime}(\phi) x_{i} x_{j} \phi_{p q}+2\left(M_{q j}^{\prime}(\phi) x_{j} \phi_{p}+M_{p j}^{\prime}(\phi) x_{j} \phi_{q}+M_{p q}(\phi)\right)=0$
where repeated indices imply summation. Multiplication by $\eta_{p q}$, summing and substituting from (3) for $\phi_{p}$ etc and integrating gives equation (2.9) of Dunajski's paper

$$
\left(g M_{i j}^{\prime}-M^{\prime i k} \eta_{k m} M^{\prime m j}\right) x_{i} x_{j}=0
$$

where $2 g^{\prime}=\eta_{i j} M^{i j}$. This equation is the starting point to his analysis.

## 2. Alternative interpretation

Here the purpose is to ask a different question, 'what differential equations possess solutions of implicit form given by the quadratic ansatz (2)?' This is an obvious extension of the linear situation. Taking equations (4) for indices ( $p p, p q, q q$ ) respectively it is possible to eliminate the second derivatives of $M_{i j}$ and at the same time many of the other terms. If we define $\lambda$ by

$$
2 \lambda=-\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} M_{i j}^{\prime}(\phi) x_{i} x_{j}
$$

and $f_{p q}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p q}=\left(\phi_{p}\right)^{2} \phi_{q q}-2 \phi_{p} \phi_{q} \phi_{p q}+\left(\phi_{q}\right)^{2} \phi_{p p} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where subscripts denote partial differentiation with respect to $x_{p}$ etc, so that $f_{p q}=0$, (8) is simply the Bateman equation in the independent variables $\left(x_{p}, x_{q}\right)$, the resultant eliminant is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda f_{p q}+\left(M_{p p}\left(\phi_{q}\right)^{2}-2 M_{p q} \phi_{p} \phi_{q}+M_{q q}\left(\phi_{p}\right)^{2}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, there is a more general eliminant, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda \phi_{p q}+M_{p q}\right) \phi_{r} \phi_{s}-\left(\lambda \phi_{r q}+M_{r q}\right) \phi_{p} \phi_{s}-\left(\lambda \phi_{p s}+M_{p s}\right) \phi_{r} \phi_{q}+\left(\lambda \phi_{r s}+M_{r s}\right) \phi_{p} \phi_{s}=0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting (3) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \phi_{p}+M_{p p} x_{p}+\sum_{j \neq p} M_{p j} x_{j}=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The off-diagonal elements of the matrix may then be eliminated from (8) by using (6). We see that (6) and (8) constitute $\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ linear equations for the elements of the symmetric matrix $M$. Imposition of one further relationship upon the matrix elements, which may be taken as homogeneous and nonlinear, will leave a nonlinear equation of second order for $\phi$. In the next section, this procedure is carried out in detail for two independent variables.

## 3. Two variable case

In the case of two independent variables, the relevant equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \phi_{1}+M_{11} x_{1}+M_{12} x_{2}=0 \\
& \lambda \phi_{2}+M_{22} x_{2}+M_{12} x_{1}=0 \\
& \lambda f_{12}+\left(M_{11}\left(\phi_{2}\right)^{2}-2 M_{12} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}+M_{22}\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The easiest way to manage this is to solve these equations for $M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}$, giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{11}=-\frac{\lambda\left(x_{1} \phi_{1}^{3}+x_{2} \phi_{1}^{2} \phi_{2}+x_{2}^{2} f_{12}\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{2} \phi_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}+x_{2}^{2} \phi_{2}^{2}\right)}=\lambda^{2}\left(\phi_{1}^{2}-\lambda x_{2}^{2} f_{12}\right) \\
& M_{22}=-\frac{\lambda\left(x_{1}^{2} f_{12}+x_{1} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{2}+x_{2} \phi_{2}^{3}\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{2} \phi_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}+x_{2}^{2} \phi_{2}^{2}\right)}=\lambda^{2}\left(\phi_{2}^{2}-\lambda x_{1}^{2} f_{12}\right) \\
& M_{12}=-\frac{\lambda\left(x_{1} \phi_{1}^{2} \phi_{2}+x_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{2}-x_{1} x_{2} f_{12}\right)}{\left(x_{1}^{2} \phi_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}+x_{2}^{2} \phi_{2}^{2}\right)}=\lambda^{2}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}+\lambda x_{1} x_{2} f_{12}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Example 1. The imposition of the homogeneous relationship

$$
M_{11} M_{22}-M_{12}^{2}=0
$$

yields the differential equation

$$
f_{12}=0
$$

That this is just the Bateman equation is to be expected, since the relationship imposed makes the initial quadratic factorize into the square of (1).

- Example 2. Imposition of the constraint $M_{12}=0$ which unlike the previous constraint breaks the Lorentz invariance, unless ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) are considered as light cone co-ordinates yields the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}^{2} \phi_{2} x_{1}+\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{2} x_{2}-x_{1} x_{2} f_{12}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the constraint equation (2) becomes

$$
M_{11}(\phi) x_{1}^{2}+M_{22}(\phi) x_{2}^{2}=1
$$

which gives the general solution for the Bateman equation expressed in the variables $\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}\right)$, which becomes equation (9) when written in the original variables $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

A similar result can be found in the three variable case; if the discriminant of the quadratic form is set to zero, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13}  \tag{10}\\
M_{12} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\
M_{13} & M_{23} & M_{33}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

which remains compatible with the form-invariance of the ansatz under linear co-ordinate transformations, then the resulting equation is what has been called by its authors 'the universal field equation', [2], because it arises from an infinite number of inequivalent Lagrangians,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3}  \tag{11}\\
\phi_{1} & \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{13} \\
\phi_{2} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{22} & \phi_{23} \\
\phi_{3} & \phi_{13} & \phi_{23} & \phi_{33}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

This result is a special case, shortly to be generalized. This equation also possesses the property that any function of a solution is also a solution, so a solution in implicit form could have been anticipated.

### 3.1. Multivariable case

The simplicity of the solution suggests that it might be possible to solve equations (6) and (8) in general. Indeed this is so, and the solution is, using the result responsible for the simplification above, namely

$$
\sum_{j} \lambda \phi_{j} x_{j}=-1
$$

The result is
$M_{p q}=\lambda^{2}\left(\phi_{p} \phi_{q}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\sum_{r \neq p, q} \phi_{r} x_{r}\right) \frac{f_{p q}}{\phi_{p} \phi_{q}}-\phi_{p} \sum_{r \neq p} \frac{f_{q r} x_{r}}{\phi_{q} \phi_{r}}-\phi_{q} \sum_{r \neq q} \frac{f_{p r} x_{r}}{\phi_{p} \phi_{r}}-\phi_{p} \phi_{q} \mu\right)\right)$
where

$$
\mu=\lambda \sum_{r, s} \frac{f_{r s} x_{r} x_{s}}{\phi_{r} \phi_{s}}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p p}=\lambda^{2}\left(\phi_{p}^{2}+\sum \frac{x_{r}}{\phi_{r}} f_{p r}-\phi_{p}^{2} \mu\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The imposition of any relation among the $M_{p q}$ replacing, if necessary, the parameter $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ by $-\sum \phi_{i} x_{i}$ will provide a second-order differential equation whose solution is given in implicit form by the original quadratic relation (2). In particular, the imposition of the relation $\operatorname{det}\left|M_{j k}\right|=0$ imposes the condition that the implicit solution of the quadratic relation (2) for $\phi$ solves the universal field equation

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \phi_{i}  \tag{13}\\
\phi_{j} & \phi_{i j}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Proof. Consider the equation

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \phi_{1}^{2} & \phi_{2}^{2} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{2}  \tag{14}\\
\phi_{1}^{2} & 0 & f_{12} & \cdots & f_{1 n} \\
\phi_{2}^{2} & f_{12} & 0 & \ldots & f_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\phi_{n}^{2} & f_{1 n} & f_{2 n} & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

From the definition of $f_{p q}$, (5), by elementary row and column operations this equation is equivalent to

$$
(-1)^{n} 2^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} \phi_{i}^{2} \operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \phi_{i} \\
\phi_{j} & \phi_{i j}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

i.e. the universal field equation. On the other hand, from (6) the left-hand side of equation (14) is equivalent to

$$
(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} \phi_{i}^{2} \operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \phi_{i}  \tag{15}\\
\phi_{j} & M_{i j}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Now if $\operatorname{det}\left|M_{j k}\right|=0$, then for a consistent non-trivial solution of the other $n$ linear equations which determine full set of the matrix elements $M_{p q}$ in addition to (6), namely (8), we see that every determinant obtained from $\operatorname{det}\left|M_{j k}\right|$ by replacing each column in turn by a column vector of the $\phi_{i}$, must vanish, hence the entire determinant (15) vanishes, and the result is proved.

## 4. Generalization

It has already been observed that the universal field equation is solved by a linear assumption [2, 9], and now it has been shown that a quadratic ansatz also works. In addition, explicit solutions can be obtained by taking $\phi$ as a homogeneous function of its arguments of weight zero [2]. Characterization of the general solution, which is known to be integrable [8], follows similar lines to those of [5]. Both the linear and quadratic relations imposed here satisfy this constraint. Another class of implicit solutions may then be found. The clue as to how to do this comes from the discussion in the introduction in which solutions of the form

$$
t=A\left(\phi, x_{i}\right) \quad i=1, \ldots, n \quad x_{n}=t
$$

are sought. If this satisfies the universal field equation, then the homogeneous Monge-Ampère equation must be satisfied,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} A\left(\phi, x_{i}\right)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right|=0
$$

The proof of this result is given by straightforward row and column operations upon the original equation in determinantal form (13), here illustrated for the case of three independent variables $(t, x, y)$, which takes the form for this ansatz,
$\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}0 & -\phi_{t} & -\phi_{x} & -\phi_{y} \\ \phi_{t} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{t}^{2}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{t} \phi_{x}+A_{\phi x} \phi_{t}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{t} \phi_{y}+A_{\phi y} \phi_{t}}{A_{\phi}} \\ \phi_{x} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{t} \phi_{x}+A_{\phi x} \phi_{t}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{x}{ }^{2}+2 A_{\phi x} \phi_{x}+A_{x x}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{x} \phi_{y}+A_{\phi x} \phi_{y}+A_{\phi y} \phi_{x}+A_{x y}}{A_{\phi}} \\ \phi_{y} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{t} \phi_{y}+A_{\phi y} \phi_{t}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{x} \phi_{y}+A_{\phi x} \phi_{y}+A_{\phi y} \phi_{x}+A_{x y}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{y}^{2}+2 A_{\phi y} \phi_{y}+A_{y y}}{A_{\phi}}\end{array}\right|=0$.
Subtraction of $\phi_{x}$ times the second row from $\phi_{t}$ times the third followed by subtraction of $\phi_{x}$ times the second column from $\phi_{t}$ times the third, etc gives the matrix in the form

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -\phi_{t} & 0 & 0  \tag{17}\\
\phi_{t} & \frac{A_{\phi \phi} \phi_{t}^{2}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{\phi x} \phi_{t}^{2}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{\phi y} \phi_{t}^{2}}{A_{\phi}} \\
0 & \frac{A_{\phi x} \phi_{t}^{2}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{x x}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{x y}}{A_{\phi}} \\
0 & \frac{A_{\phi x} \phi_{t}^{2}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{x y} \phi_{t}}{A_{\phi}} & \frac{A_{y y} \phi_{t}}{A_{\phi}}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Hence the equation is solved if $A_{x x} A_{y y}-A_{x y}^{2}=0$, as claimed. The generalization to more variables is obvious. Now this homogeneous Monge-Ampére equation admits homogeneous solutions of weight 1 in $(x, y)$, i.e. if $A(\phi, x, y)$ takes the form $A(\phi, x, y)=x B\left(\phi, \frac{x}{y}\right)$, for arbitrary $B$ so another class of implicit solutions is given by solving

$$
t=x B\left(\phi, \frac{x}{y}\right)
$$

implicitly for $\phi$.
As a second example, consider the equation arising from the Lagrangian $\sqrt{\phi_{1}^{2}+\phi_{2}^{2}+\phi_{3}^{2}}$, namely

$$
f_{12}+f_{23}+f_{13}=0 .
$$

Setting $x_{3}=t$, this requires as a condition upon $A$

$$
\left(1+A_{1}^{2}\right) A_{22}+\left(1+A_{2}^{2}\right) A_{11}-2 A_{1} A_{2} A_{12}=0
$$

the so-called two-dimensional Bateman equation, solution methods for which are described in $[12,13]$. Thus, as in the previous case, the general solution depends upon the implicit solution of a similar nonlinear equation with one less independent variable.

A third example involves an extended form of the Bateman equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{x}\right)^{2} \phi_{t t}-2 \phi_{x} \phi_{t} \phi_{t x}+\left(\phi_{t}\right)^{2} \phi_{x x}=2\left(\phi_{x}, \phi_{t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The additional term is chosen so that the equation retains the property that any function of a solution will remain a solution. If a solution of the form $t=A(\phi, x)$ is sought, then the differential equation satisfied by $A(\phi, x)$ is

$$
\left(A_{x x}\right)^{2}=4\left(A_{x}\right)^{3}
$$

with solution

$$
A(\phi, x)=b(\phi)+\frac{1}{x-c(\phi)} \quad b(\phi), c(\phi) \text { arbitrary }
$$

or

$$
(b(\phi)-t)(c(\phi)-x)=1
$$

## 5. Complex Bateman equation

This final section is a bit of a diversion from the main theme of the paper, but is included as it is both an elegant result, obtained here in a slightly different way, and a nice example of how results in mathematical physics sometimes were already anticipated several years earlier. The Bateman equation possesses a complex form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{x} \phi_{z} \phi_{y w}-\phi_{x} \phi_{w} \phi_{y z}+\phi_{y} \phi_{z} \phi_{x w}-\phi_{y} \phi_{w} \phi_{x z}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution has been published in 1935 by Chaundy [1], rediscovered and generalized in 1999 [14]. It is given by equating an arbitrary function of $(x, y, \phi)$ to another of $(z, w, \phi)$, i.e.

$$
F(x, y, \phi) \equiv G(z, w, \phi)
$$

This equation may be expressed in first-order form,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & =v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}  \tag{20}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} & =u \frac{\partial v}{\partial w}  \tag{21}\\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} & =v \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}  \tag{22}\\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} & =u \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial w} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The way this works is that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{x} & =\frac{F_{x}}{G_{\phi}-F_{\phi}} & \phi_{y} & =\frac{F_{x}}{G_{\phi}-F_{\phi}} \\
\phi_{z} & =-\frac{G_{z}}{F_{\phi}-G_{\phi}} & \phi_{w} & =\frac{G_{w}}{F_{\phi}-G_{\phi}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from (22) and (23) we have

$$
v=\frac{F_{x}}{F_{y}} \quad u=\frac{G_{z}}{G_{w}}
$$

i.e.

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\left(\frac{G_{z \phi}}{G_{w}}-\frac{G_{w \phi} G_{z}}{G_{w}^{2}}\right) \phi_{x}=\frac{\phi_{x}}{\phi_{y}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}
$$

thus verifying (20), and similarly for (21).
There is a huge class of explicit solutions to equation (19). Take any two arbitrary differentiable functions, $f(x, y)$ and $g(z, w)$ and construct an arbitrary function $F(f(x, y)$, $g(z, w))$. Then

$$
\phi=F(f(x, y), g(z, w))
$$

is a solution to (19).
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